2001 – 2002 LEGISLATURE

RPN:kmg:pg

DOA:.....Coomber - Court interpreters

1

2

3

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

; relating to: providing court interpreters for persons with limited ability to speak or understand the English language and making an appropriation

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau COURTS AND PROCEDURE

CIRCUIT COURTS

Under current law, if a court knows that a person charged with a crime, a parent or child subject to juvenile court proceedings, a person subject to mental health or protective service proceedings, or a witness to one of those proceedings, is unable to communicate and understand English because of a language difficulty or a disability, the court is required to tell the person that he or she has the right to an interpreter. If the person cannot afford to pay for an interpreter, current law requires the court to provide an interpreter at the public's expense. Current law allows courts to authorize the use of interpreters in other court proceedings. agencies are also authorized under current law to use interpreters in contested cases.

Currently, the expenses of furnishing an interpreter in the supreme court, court of appeals, or circuit court is paid by the director of state courts. If the state public defender needs an interpreter to assist in preparing an indigent for a court proceedings, current law requires the state public defender to pay the expenses. In municipal court and before administrative agencies, the unit of government involved

A qualities

is required to pay the interpreter expenses. Current law limits the amount of fees for interpreters before a municipal court or an agency to \$10 per 0.5 day or higher fees established by the unit of government and \$35 per 0.5 day before a court of record or when assisting the state public defender. Current law also requires the payment of mileage at the rate of 20 cents per mile.

Under this bill the current law remains unchanged for interpreters at a municipal court or an agency proceeding and when assisting the public defender. Under the bill, if a person who is unable to understand or communicate in English due to a disability or because of his or her use of a language other than English is involved in a proceeding before a circuit court, court of appeals, or supreme court, that court is required to appoint an interpreter for the person. The interpreter must be able to readily communicate with the person, transfer the meaning of statements to and from English in the court-related proceedings, and accurately interpret, in a manner that conserves the meaning, tone, and style of the original statement. Under the bill, it a person with limited English proficiency due to a disability is serving on a jury in a circuit court, the court must appoint an interpreter to assist the person. The bill also allows the clerk of court to provide minterpreter to assist a person with limited English proficiency when that person asks the court for assistance regarding a legal proceeding, such as how to bring an action to obtain a domestic abuse injunction. Interpreters are provided without charge under the bill without regard to the person's ability to pay for that interpreter's services.

Under the bill, the fee paid to an interpreter in the court of appeals or supreme court is determined by the supreme court. The expenses of providing an interpreter at the court of appeals or supreme court are paid by that court. If the interpreter is used at the circuit court level, the expenses of providing an interpreter at the circuit court are paid by the county. The bill requires the director of state courts to reimburse counties for expenses incurred in providing interpreters four times each year, at a rate of \$30 dollars for the first hour and \$15 for each additional 0.5 hour. If the interpreter is certified under requirements and procedures approved by the supreme court, the reimbursement rate under the bill is \$40 dollars for the first hour and \$20 for each additional 0.5 hour. The bill requires counties to submit reimbursement request forms within 90 days after each 3—month period has ended or lose reimbursement for that period. Under the bill, if state moneys are insufficient to fully reimburse the counties, the director of state courts must prorate the reimbursements.

The bill allows a person with limited English proficiency to waive the appointment of an interpreter if the court determines on the record that the waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily, and allows the person to retract that waiver at any time during the court proceedings for good cause. The bill establishes the mileage rate used for state employees as the mileage rate paid to interpreters.

The bill requires the supreme court to adopt prolicies and proceedings for the recruit ment, training, testing, and retention of qualified interpreters, and requires the technical callege gystem to assist the supreme court in the training and testing of those enterpreters,

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.625 (1) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.625 (1) (c) Court interpreter fees. The amounts in the schedule to pay interpreter fees reimbursed under s. 885.37 (4) (a) 2. 758.19 (8).

SECTION 2. 46.295 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

46.295 (2) (c) Legal services and civil court proceedings, excluding those services covered under s. 885.38 for which reimbursement is provided under s. 758.19 (8).

SECTION 48.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

48.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a qualified interpreter.

SECTION 4. 48.375 (7) (d) 1m. of the statutes is amended to read:

48.375 (7) (d) 1m. Except as provided under s. 48.315 (1) (b), (c) and (f), and (h), if the court fails to comply with the time limits specified under subd. 1. without the prior consent of the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy, if any, shall select a temporary reserve judge, as defined in s. 753.075 (1) (b), to make the determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying the petition and the chief judge of the judicial administrative district in which the court is located shall assign the temporary reserve judge selected by the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy, if any, to make the determination and issue the order. A temporary

5 6

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

reserve judge assigned under this subdivision to make a determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying a petition shall make the determination and issue the order within 2 calendar days after the assignment, unless the minor and her counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, consent to an extension of that time period. The order shall be effective immediately. The court shall prepare and file with the clerk of court findings of fact, conclusions of law and a final order granting or denying the petition, and shall notify the minor of the court's order, as provided under subd. 1.

SECTION 5. 758.19 (8) of the statutes is created to read:

758.19 (8) (a) From the appropriation under s. 20.625 (1) (c), the director of state courts shall reimburse counties 4 times each year for the actual expenses paid for interpreters required by circuit courts to assist persons with limited English proficiency under s. 885.38 (8) (a) 1. The amount of the reimbursement for mileage shall be an amount equal to the mileage rate that is set under s. 20.916 (8). The amount of the maximum hourly reimbursement for court interpreters shall be as follows:

- 1. Forty dollars for the first hour and \$20 for each additional 0.5 hour for qualified interpreters certified under the requirements and procedures approved by the supreme court.
- 2. Thirty dollars for the first hour and \$15 for each additional 0.5 hour for qualified interpreters, as defined in s. 885.38 (1) (c).
- (b) To receive reimbursement under par. (a), a county must submit, on forms provided by the director of state courts, an accounting of the amount paid for expenses related to court interpreters that are eligible for reimbursement under par. (a). The forms must include expenses for the preceding 3-month period and must

1	be submitted within 90 days after that 3-month period has ended. The director of
2	state courts may not reimburse a county for any expenses related to court
3	interpreters that are submitted after the 90-day period has ended. If moneys are
4	insufficient to pay the full reimbursement to the counties, the director of state courts
5	may prorate the payments. Reimbursement under par. (a) first applies to court
6	interpreter expenses incurred on the effective date of this paragraph [revisor
7	inserts date].
8	(c) The director of state courts shall annually adjust the maximum hourly
9	reimbursement to counties for fees paid for court interpreters under par. (a) to reflect
10	the changes in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average,
11	as determined by the U.S. department of labor, with the adjusted limit to apply to
12	interpreter services provided subsequent to the adjustments.
13	SECTION 6. 814.67 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
14	814.67 (1) (am) For witnesses attending before a circuit court, \$16 per day.
15	SECTION 7. 814.67 (1) (b) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
16	814.67 (1) (b) (intro.) For attending before any other court the court of appeals
17	or the supreme court:
18	SECTION 8. 814.67 (1) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:
19	814.67 (1) (b) 2. For interpreters, \$35 per one-half day a fee determined by the
20	supreme court.
21	SECTION 9. 814.67 (1) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
22	814.67 (1) (c) For traveling, at the rate of 20 cents mileage rate equal to the rate
23	that is set under s. 20.916 (8), per mile going and returning from his or her residence
24	if within the state; or, if without the state, from the point where he or she crosses the

1	state boundary to the place of attendance, and returning by the usually traveled
2	route between such points.
3	SECTION 10. 885.37 (title) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	885.37 (title) Interpreters for persons with language difficulties or
5	hearing or speaking impairments in municipal courts and administrative
6	agency contested eases. Limited English proficiency
7	SECTION 11. 885.37 (1) (a) of the statutes is repealed.
8	SECTION 12. 885.37 (1) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
9	885.37 (1) (b) If a municipal court has notice that a person who fits any of the
10	criteria under par. (a) has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or
11	understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech
12	defect, the court shall make a factual determination of whether the language
13	difficulty or the hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the
14	individual from communicating with his or her attorney, reasonably understanding
15	the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the court
16	determines that an interpreter is necessary, the court shall advise the person that
17	he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter and that, if the person cannot afford
18	one, an interpreter will be provided for him or her at the public's expense. Any waiver
19	of the right to an interpreter is effective only if made voluntarily in person, in open
20	court and on the record.
21	SECTION 13. 885.37 (2) of the statutes is repealed.
22	SECTION 14. 885.37 (4) (a) of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:
23	885.37 (4) (a) The necessary expense of furnishing an interpreter for an
24	indigent person in a municipal court shall be paid by the municipality.
25	SECTION 15. 885.37 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (5) (a) If a court under sub. (1) or (2) or an agency under sub. (3) decides 1 to appoint an interpreter, the court or agency shall follow the applicable procedure under par. (b) or (c). SECTION 16. 885.3% of the statutes is created to read: (1) In this section: (a) "Court proceeding" means any proceeding before a court of records "Limited English proficiency" means any of the following: (7) The inability, because of the use of a language other than English, to 8 9 adequately understand or communicate effectively in English in a court proceeding. 10 The inability, due to a speech impairment, hearing loss, deafness, 11 deaf-blindness, or other disability, to adequately hear, understand, or communicate 12 effectively in English in a court proceeding. (A) "Qualified interpreter" means a person who is able to do all of the following: 13 14 1. Readily communicate with a person who has limited English proficiency. 2. Orally transfer the meaning of statements to and from English and the 15 language spoken by a person who has limited English proficiency in the context of 16 17 a court proceeding. 3. Readily and accurately interpret for a person who has limited English 18 proficiency, without omissions or additions, in a manner that conserves the meaning, 19 tone, and style of the original statement, including dialect, slang, and specialized vocabulary. The supreme court/shall establish the procedures and policies for the recruitment, training, and certification of persons to act as qualified interpreters in a court proceeding and for the coordination, discipline, retention, and training of 25 those interpreters.

to after p. 9, line 15

		the first of the control of the cont
1	(3) (a) The court shall appoint a qualified in	nterpreter for a person in a court
2	proceeding if the court determines that the person	n has limited English proficiency
3	and the person is one of the following:	and the second s

1. A party in interest.

4

5

6

8

9

J0

1/1

 $12\sqrt{}$

13

(14)

15

16

 $\langle 17 \rangle$

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2. A witness, while testifying in a court proceeding.
- 3. An alleged victim, as defined in s. 950.02 (4).
- 4. A parent or legal guardian of a minor party in interest or the legal guardian of a party in interest.
- (b) The court may appoint more than one qualified interpreter in a court proceeding when necessary.
- (c) If a person with limited English proficiency, as defined in sub. (1) (b) 2., is part of a jury panel in a court proceeding, the court shall appoint a qualified interpreter for that person.
- If a person with limited English proficiency requests the assistance of the clerk of circuit courts regarding a legal proceeding, the clerk may provide the assistance of a qualified interpreter to respond to the person's inquiry.
 - A qualified interpreter appointed under this possection may, with the approval of the court, provide interpreter services outside the court room that are related to the court proceedings, including during court-ordered psychiatric or medical exams or mediation.
 - (f) A qualified interpreter shall be appointed or provided under this subsection without regard to the ability of the person with limited English proficiency to pay for the costs of the qualified interpreter.
 - (a) A person with limited English proficiency may waive the right to a qualified interpreter at any point in the court proceeding if the court advises the

1 person of the nature and effect of the waiver and determines on the record that the 2 waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily. (b) At any point in the court proceeding, for good cause, the person with limited 3 English proficiency may retract his or her waiver and request that a qualified 4 5 interpreter be appointed. (5) Every qualified interpreter, before commencing his or her duties in a court (6) 7 proceeding, shall take a sworn oath that he or she will make a true and impartial 8 interpretation. The supreme court may approve a uniform oath for qualified 9 interpreters. Any party to a court proceeding may object to the use of any qualified (10) interpreter for good cause. The court may remove a qualified interpreter for good 11 12 cause. The delay resulting from the need to locate and appoint a qualified interpreter may constitute good cause for the court to toll the time limitations in the court proceeding. (8) (a) Except as provided in par. (b), the necessary expenses of providing qualified interpreters to persons with limited English proficiency under this section 17 18 shall be paid as follows: 1. The county in which the circuit court is located shall pay the expenses in all 19 proceedings before a circuit court and when the clerk of circuit court uses a qualified 20 interpreter under sub. (3) (d). The county shall be reimbursed as provided in s. 21 22 758.19 (8) for expenses paid under this subdivision. 2. The court of appeals shall pay the expenses in all proceedings before the court 23 24 of appeals.

1	3. The supreme court shall pay the expenses in all proceedings before the
2	supreme court.
3	(b) The state public defender shall pay the expenses for interpreters assisting
4	the state public defender in representing an indigent person in preparing for court
5	proceedings.
6	SECTION 17. 905.015 of the statutes is amended to read:
7	905.015 Interpreters for persons with language difficulties, limited
8	English proficiency, or hearing or speaking impairments. If an interpreter
9	for a person with a language difficulty, limited English proficiency, as defined in s.
$\frac{1}{10}$	885.3 or a hearing or speaking impairment interprets as an aid to a
11	communication which is privileged by statute, rules adopted by the supreme court.
12	or the U.S. or state constitution, the interpreter may be prevented from disclosing
13	the communication by any person who has a right to claim the privilege. The
14	interpreter may claim the privilege but only on behalf of the person who has the
15	right. The authority of the interpreter to do so is presumed in the absence of evidence
16	to the contrary.
17	SECTION 18. 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:
18	938.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a
19	qualified interpreter.
20	Section 9309. Initial applicability; circuit courts.
21	(1) COURT INTERPRETERS. The treatment of sections 20.625 (1) (2) 46.295 (2) (2)
22	18.315 (1) (h), 48.375 (7) (d) 1m., 758(19(8), 814.67 (1) (dil), (b) (intro.) and 27 and (3) (3) (3) (3m) (intro.) and (6) (6) (6) (6)
23	(c) 885.37 (title), (1) (a) and (b), (2), (4) (a), and (5), (a), 385.38, 905.015, and 938.315
24	(1) (h) of the statutes first applies to interpreters used by a clerk of court or appointed
25	by a count on the effective date of this subsection. $(5)(a)$ and

2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

1 insert 3–7:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section 1. 38.04 (28) of the statutes is created to read:

38.04 (28) Training of qualified interpreters. The board shall cooperate with the supreme court to provide training and testing programs for qualified interpreters under s. 885.37 (10).

(insert 7021:) JNS. 7-3

SECTION 2. 885.37 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 885.37 (1m), and 885.37 (1m) (b), as renumbered, is amended to read:

885.37 (1m) (b) If a court has notice that a person who fits any of the criteria under par. (a) has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech defect the court shall make a factual determination of whether the language difficulty or the hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the individual from communicating with his or her attorney, reasonably understanding the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the court determines that, limited English proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the court shall advise the person that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter and that, if the person cannot afford one, an interpreter will be provided for him or her at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an interpreter is effective only if made voluntarily in person, in open court and on the record.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

T935, SEC.

SECTION 3. 885.37 (3) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

-2drippere

885.37 (3) (b) In any administrative contested case proceeding before a state, county or municipal agency, if the agency conducting the proceeding has notice that a party to the proceeding has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech defect, the agency shall make a factual determination of whether the language difficulty or hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the party from communicating with others, reasonably understanding the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the agency determines limited English proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the agency shall advise the party that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter. After considering the party's ability to pay and the other needs of the party, the agency may provide for an interpreter for the party at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an interpreter is effective only if made at the administrative contested case proceeding.

1

(2)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

(20)

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77. **SECTION 4.** 885.37 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (3m) Any agency may authorize the use of an a qualified interpreter in a contested case proceeding for a person who is not a party but who has a substantial interest in the proceeding.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

SECTION 5. 885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified interpreter for an indigent person under sub. (1) (1) shall be paid as follows:

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

SECTION 6. 885.37 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

1	885.37 (4) (b) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified interpreter
2	for an indigent party under sub. (3) shall be paid by the unit of government for which
3	the proceeding is held.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0094/4insKMG RPN:kmg:kmg

INSERT 7-21A

SECTION 1. 885.37 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (2) A court may authorize the use of an interpreter in actions or proceedings in addition to those specified in sub. (1) (1m).

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

INSERT 7-21C

SECTION 2. 885.37 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (5) (a) If a court under sub. (1) (1m) or (2) or an agency under sub. (3) decides to appoint an interpreter, the court or agency shall follow the applicable procedure under par. (b) or (c).

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 67 Wis. 2d 585, 760 (1975); 1975 c. 106, 199; Stats. 1975 s. 885.37; 1985 a. 266; 1987 a. 27; 1995 a. 27 ss. 7207 to 7209, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 77.

STATE OF WISCONSIN – **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU** – LEGAL SECTION (608–266–3561)

	-0094
Renove Sertion	
Recorded That	
Gap CX, Coyp	
Teen 2 Code of	
	i
Curr a leg /	For
Partition of the	John John
	5 /5 /25
Britt Esonber	1) 1-30</td



State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0094/4
RPN:kmg:pg

DOA:.....Coomber - Court interpreters

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT !:; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau COURTS AND PROCEDURE

CIRCUIT COURTS

Under current law, if a court knows that a person charged with a crime, a parent or child subject to juvenile court proceedings, a person subject to mental health or protective service proceedings, or a witness to one of those proceedings, is unable to communicate and understand English because of a language difficulty or a disability, the court is required to tell the person that he or she has the right to an interpreter. If the person cannot afford to pay for an interpreter, current law requires the court to provide an interpreter at the public's expense. Current law allows courts to authorize the use of interpreters in other court proceedings. Administrative agencies are also authorized under current law to use interpreters in contested cases.

Currently, the expenses of furnishing an interpreter in the supreme court, court of appeals, or circuit court is paid by the director of state courts. If the state public defender needs an interpreter to assist in preparing an indigent for a court proceedings, current law requires the state public defender to pay the expenses. In municipal court and before administrative agencies, the unit of government involved is required to pay the interpreter expenses. Current law limits the amount of fees for interpreters before a municipal court or an agency to \$10 per 0.5 day or higher fees established by the unit of government and \$35 per 0.5 day before a court of record or when assisting the state public defender. Current law also requires the payment of mileage at the rate of 20 cents per mile. This bill does not change these amounts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Under the bill, a qualified interpreter must be able to readily communicate with the person, transfer the meaning of statements to and from English in the court—related proceedings, and accurately interpret, in a manner that conserves the meaning, tone, and style of the original statement. The bill also allows the clerk of court to provide a qualified interpreter to assist a person with limited English proficiency when that person asks the court for assistance regarding a legal proceeding, such as how to bring an action to obtain a domestic abuse injunction.

The bill allows a person with limited English proficiency to waive the appointment of an interpreter if the court determines on the record that the waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily, and allows the person to retract that waiver at any time during the court proceedings for good cause.

The bill requires the supreme court to adopt policies and procedures for the recruitment, training, testing, and retention of qualified interpreters, and requires the technical college system to assist the supreme court in the training and testing of those interpreters.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 38.04 (28) of the statutes is created to read:

38.04 (28) Training of qualified interpreters. The board shall cooperate with the supreme court to provide training and testing programs for qualified interpreters under s. 885.37 (10).

SECTION 2. 48.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

48.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a qualified interpreter.

SECTION 3. 48.375 (7) (d) 1m. of the statutes is amended to read:

48.375 (7) (d) 1m. Except as provided under s. 48.315 (1) (b), (c) and, (f), and (h), if the court fails to comply with the time limits specified under subd. 1. without the prior consent of the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy, if any, shall select a temporary reserve

judge, as defined in s. 753.075 (1) (b), to make the determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying the petition and the chief judge of the judicial administrative district in which the court is located shall assign the temporary reserve judge selected by the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy, if any, to make the determination and issue the order. A temporary reserve judge assigned under this subdivision to make a determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying a petition shall make the determination and issue the order within 2 calendar days after the assignment, unless the minor and her counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, consent to an extension of that time period. The order shall be effective immediately. The court shall prepare and file with the clerk of court findings of fact, conclusions of law and a final order granting or denying the petition, and shall notify the minor of the court's order, as provided under subd. 1.

Section 4. 885.37 (title) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (title) Interpreters for persons with language difficulties or hearing or speaking impairments limited English proficiency.

SECTION 5. 885.37 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 885.37 (1m), and 885.37 (1m) (b), as renumbered, is amended to read:

885.37 (1m) (b) If a court has notice that a person who fits any of the criteria under par. (a) has —a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech defect the court shall make a factual determination of whether the language difficulty or the hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the individual from communicating with his or her attorney, reasonably understanding the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the court

determines that, limited English proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the
court shall advise the person that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter and
that, if the person cannot afford one, an interpreter will be provided for him or her
at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an interpreter is effective only if
made voluntarily in person, in open court and on the record.
SECTION 6. 885.37 (1g) of the statutes is created to read:
885.37 (1g) In this section:
(a) "Limited English proficiency" means any of the following:
1. The inability, because of the use of a language other than English, to
adequately understand or communicate effectively in English in a court proceeding.
2. The inability, due to a speech impairment, hearing loss, deafness,
deaf-blindness, or other disability, to adequately hear, understand, or communicate
effectively in English in a court proceeding.
(b) "Qualified interpreter" means a person who is able to do all of the following:
1. Readily communicate with a person who has limited English proficiency.
2. Orally transfer the meaning of statements to and from English and the
language spoken by a person who has limited English proficiency in the context of
a court proceeding.
3. Readily and accurately interpret for a person who has limited English
proficiency, without omissions or additions, in a manner that conserves the meaning
tone, and style of the original statement, including dialect, slang, and specialized
vocabulary.
SECTION 7. 885.37 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:
885.37 (2) A court may authorize the use of an interpreter in actions or
proceedings in addition to those specified in sub. (1) (1m).

Section 8. 885.37 (3) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (3) (b) In any administrative contested case proceeding before a state, county, or municipal agency, if the agency conducting the proceeding has notice that a party to the proceeding has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech defect, the agency shall make a factual determination of whether the language difficulty or hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the party from communicating with others, reasonably understanding the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the agency determines limited English proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the agency shall advise the party that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter. After considering the party's ability to pay and the other needs of the party, the agency may provide for an interpreter for the party at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an interpreter is effective only if made at the administrative contested case proceeding.

SECTION 9. 885.37 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (3m) Any agency may authorize the use of an a qualified interpreter in a contested case proceeding for a person who is not a party but who has a substantial interest in the proceeding.

SECTION 10. 885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified interpreter for an indigent person under sub. (1) (1m) or (2) shall be paid as follows:

SECTION 11. 885.37 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (4) (b) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified interpreter for an indigent party under sub. (3) shall be paid by the unit of government for which the proceeding is held.

1	SECTION 12. 885.37 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
2	885.37 (5) (a) If a court under sub. (1) $(1m)$ or (2) or an agency under sub. (3)
. 3	decides to appoint an interpreter, the court or agency shall follow the applicable
4	procedure under par. (b) or (c).
5	SECTION 13. 885.37 (6) to (10) of the statutes are created to read:
6	885.37 (6) (a) If a person with limited English proficiency requests the
7	assistance of the clerk of circuit courts regarding a legal proceeding, the clerk may
8	provide the assistance of a qualified interpreter to respond to the person's inquiry.
9	(b) A qualified interpreter appointed under this section may, with the approval
10	of the court, provide interpreter services outside the court room that are related to
11	the court proceedings, including during court-ordered psychiatric or medical exams
12	or mediation.
13	(7) (a) A person with limited English proficiency may waive the right to a
14	qualified interpreter at any point in the court proceeding if the court advises the
15	person of the nature and effect of the waiver and determines on the record that the
16	waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
17	(b) At any point in the court proceeding, for good cause, the person with limited
18	English proficiency may retract his or her waiver and request that a qualified
19	interpreter be appointed.
20	(c) Any party to a court proceeding may object to the use of any qualified
21	interpreter for good cause. The court may remove a qualified interpreter for good
22	cause.
23	(8) Every qualified interpreter, before commencing his or her duties in a court
24	proceeding, shall take a sworn oath that he or she will make a true and impartial

- interpretation. The supreme court may approve a uniform oath for qualified interpreters.
- (9) The delay resulting from the need to locate and appoint a qualified interpreter may constitute good cause for the court to toll the time limitations in the court proceeding.
- (10) The supreme court shall establish the procedures and policies for the recruitment, training, and testing of persons to act as qualified interpreters in a court proceeding and for the coordination, discipline, and retention of those interpreters.

SECTION 14. 905.015 of the statutes is amended to read:

English proficiency, or hearing or speaking impairments. If an interpreter for a person with a language difficulty, limited English proficiency, as defined in s. 885.37 (1g) (a), or a hearing or speaking impairment interprets as an aid to a communication which is privileged by statute, rules adopted by the supreme court, or the U.S. or state constitution, the interpreter may be prevented from disclosing the communication by any person who has a right to claim the privilege. The interpreter may claim the privilege but only on behalf of the person who has the right. The authority of the interpreter to do so is presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

SECTION 15. 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

938.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a qualified interpreter.

SECTION 9309. Initial applicability; circuit courts.

jartref. "KA"

(1) COURT INTERPRETERS. The treatment of sections (28) 48.315 (1) (h), 48.375 (7) (d) 1m., 885.37 (title), (1), (1g), (2), (3) (b), (3m), (4) (a) (intro.) and (b), (5) (a) and (6) to (10), 905.015, and 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes first applies to interpreters used or appointed on the effective date of this subsection.

SECTION 9409. Effective dates; circuit courts.

(1) COURT INTERPRETERS. The treatment of sections (38.04) 48.315 (1) (h), 48.375 (7) (d) 1m., 885.37 (title), (1), (1g), (2), (3) (b), (3m), (4) (a) (intro.) and (b), (5) (a) (a) (6) to (10), 905.015, and 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes takes effect on July 1,

2002.

10

9

(3)

4

5

(END)

Cand SECTION

9309 (1)

"KA"

2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0094/5ins RPN:kmg:pg

1	insert 7–23:
2	Section 9147. Nonstatutory provisions; supreme court.
3	(1) COURT INTERPRETER TRAINING. The supreme court is requested to cooperate
4	with the technical college system board in the development and implementation of
5	a curriculum and testing program for qualified interpreters.



State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0094/5 RPN:kmg:kjf

DOA:.....Coomber - Court interpreters

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau COURTS AND PROCEDURE

CIRCUIT COURTS

Under current law, if a court knows that a person charged with a crime, a parent or child subject to juvenile court proceedings, a person subject to mental health or protective service proceedings, or a witness to one of those proceedings, is unable to communicate and understand English because of a language difficulty or a disability, the court is required to tell the person that he or she has the right to an interpreter. If the person cannot afford to pay for an interpreter, current law requires the court to provide an interpreter at the public's expense. Current law allows courts to authorize the use of interpreters in other court proceedings. Administrative agencies are also authorized under current law to use interpreters in contested cases.

Currently, the expenses of furnishing an interpreter in the supreme court, court of appeals, or circuit court is paid by the director of state courts. If the state public defender needs an interpreter to assist in preparing an indigent for a court proceedings, current law requires the state public defender to pay the expenses. In municipal court and before administrative agencies, the unit of government involved is required to pay the interpreter expenses. Current law limits the amount of fees for interpreters before a municipal court or an agency to \$10 per 0.5 day or higher fees established by the unit of government and \$35 per 0.5 day before a court of record or when assisting the state public defender. Current law also requires the payment of mileage at the rate of 20 cents per mile. This bill does not change these amounts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Under the bill, a qualified interpreter must be able to readily communicate with the person, transfer the meaning of statements to and from English in the court—related proceedings, and accurately interpret, in a manner that conserves the meaning, tone, and style of the original statement. The bill also allows the clerk of court to provide a qualified interpreter to assist a person with limited English proficiency when that person asks the court for assistance regarding a legal proceeding, such as how to bring an action to obtain a domestic abuse injunction.

The bill allows a person with limited English proficiency to waive the appointment of an interpreter if the court determines on the record that the waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily, and allows the person to retract that waiver at any time during the court proceedings for good cause.

The bill requires the supreme court to adopt policies and procedures for the recruitment, training, testing, and retention of qualified interpreters, and requests that the supreme court cooperate with the technical college system in the training and testing of those interpreters.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 48.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

48.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a qualified interpreter.

SECTION 2. 48.375 (7) (d) 1m. of the statutes is amended to read:

48.375 (7) (d) 1m. Except as provided under s. 48.315 (1) (b), (c) and, (f), and (h), if the court fails to comply with the time limits specified under subd. 1. without the prior consent of the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy, if any, shall select a temporary reserve judge, as defined in s. 753.075 (1) (b), to make the determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying the petition and the chief judge of the judicial administrative district in which the court is located shall assign the temporary reserve judge selected by the minor and the minor's counsel, if any, or the member

of the clergy, if any, to make the determination and issue the order. A temporary reserve judge assigned under this subdivision to make a determination under par. (c) and issue an order granting or denying a petition shall make the determination and issue the order within 2 calendar days after the assignment, unless the minor and her counsel, if any, or the member of the clergy who filed the petition on behalf of the minor, if any, consent to an extension of that time period. The order shall be effective immediately. The court shall prepare and file with the clerk of court findings of fact, conclusions of law and a final order granting or denying the petition, and shall notify the minor of the court's order, as provided under subd. 1.

Section 3. 885.37 (title) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.37 (title) Interpreters for persons with language difficulties or hearing or speaking impairments limited English proficiency.

SECTION 4. 885.37 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 885.37 (1m), and 885.37 (1m) (b), as renumbered, is amended to read:

885.37 (1m) (b) If a court has notice that a person who fits any of the criteria under par. (a) has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech defect the court shall make a factual determination of whether the language difficulty or the hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the individual from communicating with his or her attorney, reasonably understanding the English testimony or reasonably being understood in English. If the court determines that, limited English proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the court shall advise the person that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter and that, if the person cannot afford one, an interpreter will be provided for him or her

1	at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an interpreter is effective only if
2	made voluntarily in person, in open court and on the record.
3	SECTION 5. 885.37 (1g) of the statutes is created to read:
4	885.37 (1g) In this section:
5	(a) "Limited English proficiency" means any of the following:
6	1. The inability, because of the use of a language other than English, to
7	adequately understand or communicate effectively in English in a court proceeding.
8	2. The inability, due to a speech impairment, hearing loss, deafness,
9	deaf-blindness, or other disability, to adequately hear, understand, or communicate
10	effectively in English in a court proceeding.
11	(b) "Qualified interpreter" means a person who is able to do all of the following:
12	1. Readily communicate with a person who has limited English proficiency.
13	2. Orally transfer the meaning of statements to and from English and the
14	language spoken by a person who has limited English proficiency in the context of
15	a court proceeding.
16	3. Readily and accurately interpret for a person who has limited English
17	proficiency, without omissions or additions, in a manner that conserves the meaning,
18	tone, and style of the original statement, including dialect, slang, and specialized
19	vocabulary.
20	SECTION 6. 885.37 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:
21	885.37 (2) A court may authorize the use of an interpreter in actions or
22	proceedings in addition to those specified in sub. (1) $(1m)$.
23	SECTION 7. 885.37 (3) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
24	885.37 (3) (b) In any administrative contested case proceeding before a state,
25	county, or municipal agency, if the agency conducting the proceeding has notice that

the proceeding is held.

a party to the proceeding has a language difficulty because of the inability to speak
or understand English, has a hearing impairment, is unable to speak or has a speech
defect, the agency shall make a factual determination of whether the language
difficulty or hearing or speaking impairment is sufficient to prevent the party from
communicating with others, reasonably understanding the English testimony or
reasonably being understood in English. If the agency determines limited English
proficiency and that an interpreter is necessary, the agency shall advise the party
that he or she has a right to a qualified interpreter. After considering the party's
ability to pay and the other needs of the party, the agency may provide for an
interpreter for the party at the public's expense. Any waiver of the right to an
interpreter is effective only if made at the administrative contested case proceeding.
SECTION 8. 885.37 (3m) of the statutes is amended to read:
885.37 (3m) Any agency may authorize the use of an a qualified interpreter in
a contested case proceeding for a person who is not a party but who has a substantial
interest in the proceeding.
SECTION 9. 885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
885.37 (4) (a) (intro.) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified
interpreter for an indigent person under sub. (1) (1m) or (2) shall be paid as follows:
SECTION 10. 885.37 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
885.37 (4) (b) The necessary expense of furnishing an a qualified interpreter

SECTION 11. 885.37 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

for an indigent party under sub. (3) shall be paid by the unit of government for which

1	885.37 (5) (a) If a court under sub. (1) (1m) or (2) or an agency under sub. (3)
2	decides to appoint an interpreter, the court or agency shall follow the applicable
3	procedure under par. (b) or (c).
4	SECTION 12. 885.37 (6) to (10) of the statutes are created to read:
5	885.37 (6) (a) If a person with limited English proficiency requests the
6	assistance of the clerk of circuit courts regarding a legal proceeding, the clerk may
7	provide the assistance of a qualified interpreter to respond to the person's inquiry.
8	(b) A qualified interpreter appointed under this section may, with the approval
9	of the court, provide interpreter services outside the court room that are related to
10	the court proceedings, including during court-ordered psychiatric or medical exams
11	or mediation.
12	(7) (a) A person with limited English proficiency may waive the right to a
13	qualified interpreter at any point in the court proceeding if the court advises the
14	person of the nature and effect of the waiver and determines on the record that the
15	waiver has been made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
16	(b) At any point in the court proceeding, for good cause, the person with limited
17	English proficiency may retract his or her waiver and request that a qualified
18	interpreter be appointed.
19	(c) Any party to a court proceeding may object to the use of any qualified
20	interpreter for good cause. The court may remove a qualified interpreter for good
21	cause.
22	(8) Every qualified interpreter, before commencing his or her duties in a court
23	proceeding, shall take a sworn oath that he or she will make a true and impartial
24	interpretation. The supreme court may approve a uniform oath for qualified
25	interpreters.

- (9) The delay resulting from the need to locate and appoint a qualified interpreter may constitute good cause for the court to toll the time limitations in the court proceeding.
- (10) The supreme court shall establish the procedures and policies for the recruitment, training, and testing of persons to act as qualified interpreters in a court proceeding and for the coordination, discipline, and retention of those interpreters.

SECTION 13. 905.015 of the statutes is amended to read:

905.015 Interpreters for persons with language difficulties, limited English proficiency, or hearing or speaking impairments. If an interpreter for a person with a language difficulty, limited English proficiency, as defined in s. 885.37 (1g) (a), or a hearing or speaking impairment interprets as an aid to a communication which is privileged by statute, rules adopted by the supreme court, or the U.S. or state constitution, the interpreter may be prevented from disclosing the communication by any person who has a right to claim the privilege. The interpreter may claim the privilege but only on behalf of the person who has the right. The authority of the interpreter to do so is presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

SECTION 14. 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

938.315 (1) (h) Any period of delay resulting from the need to appoint a qualified interpreter.

Section 9147. Nonstatutory provisions; supreme court.

(1) COURT INTERPRETER TRAINING. The supreme court is requested to cooperate with the technical college system board in the development and implementation of a curriculum and testing program for training qualified interpreters.

(1) COURT INTERPRETERS. The treatment of sections 48.315 (1) (h), 48.375 (7) (d) 1m., 885.37 (title), (1), (1g), (2), (3) (b), (3m), (4) (a) (intro.) and (b), (5) (a), and (6) to (10), 905.015, and 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes first applies to interpreters used or appointed on the effective date of this subsection.

SECTION 9409. Effective dates; circuit courts.

(1) Court interpreters. The treatment of sections 48.315 (1) (h), 48.375 (7) (d) 1m., 885.37 (title), (1), (1g), (2), (3) (b), (3m), (4) (a) (intro.) and (b), (5) (a), and (6) to (10), 905.015, and 938.315 (1) (h) of the statutes and Section 9309 (1) of this act take effect on July 1, 2002.

(END)